Diversity in aerial root anatomy of *Bulbophyllum* (Orchidaceae) and its significance as source for subsidiary characters in species identification

Madhavi Singh¹, Vimala Y.², Lavania S.^{1*} & D. Verma³

¹Department of Botany, University of Lucknow, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh – 226 007, India ²Department of Botany, C.C.S. University, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh – 250 004, India ³Botanical Survey of India, Dehradun, Uttarakhand – 248 195, India *E-mail: Iavaniaseshu@vahoo.co.in

Abstract: The morphology and anatomy of aerial roots of twelve epiphytic species of Bulbophyllum Thouars were investigated to analyse the interspecific variation and their potential for species differentiation and identification. Anatomical features of various structures such as velamen tissue, exodermis, cortex, endodermis, stele, thickening pattern, the occurrence of raphides, crystals, druses in the cortical region and types of tracheoidal (water storage) cells were examined. Tilosomes were lamellate in half of the species studied, while in B. umbellatum Lindl. they were spongy. The exodermal cells showed uniform cell wall thickening all around cells in all the species analysed except in B. affine Wall. ex. Lindl. and B. striatum (Griff.) Rchb.f. where they were inverted U-shaped. Raphides, druses and a few geometrically shaped calcium oxalate crystals were found in the cortical cells, while they were absent in B. affine and B. umbellatum. A positive correlation was found between stele diameter with root area and cortex thickness, number of xylem strands with root diameter and velamen thickness, velamen thickness with root diameter and stele diameter as in root cross sections. The variation in exodermis thickening, ideoblast cells, crystal forms, presence or absence of chloroplast cells in cortex, tilosome type as observed in the present study may be useful as potential distinguishing features and additional characters for taxonomic identification of Bulbophyllum species.

Keywords: Druse, Epiphytic, Exodermis, Tilosomes, Tracheoidal cells, Velamen.

Introduction

The family Orchidaceae Juss. is a highly evolved plant family represented by 736 recognised genera (Chase *et al.*, 2015) and 25,000 species distributed throughout the world (except Antarctica) and showing a wide range of variation in its morphology and anatomy (Atwood, 1986; Dressler, 1993). Orchids are also known for their morphological and anatomical adaptations, which produce an array of unique characters for identification and classification (Pridgeon & Stern, 1982; Aybeke *et al.*, 2010; Fan *et al.*, 2014).

Among various genera, the genus Bulbophyllum Thouars has a pantropical distribution, and includes about 2,200 species inhabiting diverse climates across the world (Pridgeon et al., 2014). Amongst them nearly 100 species are reported from India. In this genus, growth and survival of a plant is supported by a number of morphological and anatomical adaptations in the floral and vegetative parts including the roots (Micco & Aronne, 2012). The aerial root anatomy of Bulbophyllam careyanum (Hook.) Spreng. was first investigated by Chatin (1856). Subsequently, Oudemans (1861) described the epidermal layer and its position in aerial roots of Bulbophyllum. Afterward, Leitgeb (1864) reported single-layered velamen in B. muscicola Rchb.f., and Meinecke (1894) in Bulbophyllinae Schltr. Dressler (1981) pointed out the presence of a white coloured sheath that enclosed the root in most orchids and called it 'velamen radicum'. Later

Received: 16.04.2021 ; Revised & Accepted: 02.12.2021 Published Online: 31.12.2021

Singh *et al.*

249

Pridgeon (1987) defined velamen radicum as the outermost, spongy, single to multi-layered, thick walled, dead (at maturity) structure of aerial roots. Porembski and Barthlott (1988) also reported single-layered velamen in *Bulbophyllum*. Pridgeon *et al.* (1983) described the morphological types of tilosomes, protrusions or wall ingrowths in the form of branched lignified structures on the inner periclinal wall of the velamen (Stern, 2014).

Aybeke (2012) studied the taxonomic importance of anatomical characters of leaf, rhizome and root of various species of orchids for the identification of some taxa of the subfamilies Orchidoideae and Epidendroideae. Epiphytic plants grow on substrata like rocks, tree bark (at different heights on tall trees of different species), and their roots emerge from rhizomes that are exposed to light having intermittent access to water and minerals. Therefore, the aerial root morphology is entirely different from that of underground roots of orchids (Thangavelu & Kowsalya, 2017). As such, aerial roots of orchids have been shown to provide valuable anatomical characters for species diagnosis in taxonomy (Singh, 1986; Piazza *et al.*, 2015). The present study was conducted to elucidate anatomical features of the aerial roots to identify the supplementary diagnostic characters that could complement the application of leaf epidermal characters in the context of species identification (Singh *et al.*, 2020).

Materials and Methods

Sample collection

Living plants of twelve epiphytic taxa of the genus Bulbophyllum, namely, B. affine Wall. ex. Lindl., B. ambrosia (Hance) Schltr., B. bisetum Lindl., B. cauliflorum Hook.f., B. cherrapunjeense Barbhuiya & D.Verma, B. gymnopus Hook.f., B. leopardinum (Wall.) Lindl. ex. Wall., B. pteroglossum Schltr., B. reptans (Lindl.) Lindl. ex. Wall., B. striatum (Griff.) Rchb.f., B. sunipia J.J.Verm., Schuit. & de Vogel, and B. umbellatum Lindl. were collected in the field from the north-eastern region of India representing a broad range of habitats located at varying heights on tree bark and rocky surfaces (Table 1). The plants

Sl. No.	Species	Collection site	Altitude range (m)
1.	<i>B. affine</i> Wall. ex. Lindl.	Mukhaialong Community Reserve, Jaintia Hills, Meghalaya	100-1800
2.	B. ambrosia (Hance) Schltr.	Planted at Botanical Survey of India, Eastern Regional Centre Garden, Shillong (collected from Mizoram)	300-1300
3.	B. bisetum Lindl.	Cherapunjee, Khasi Hills, Meghalaya	1500-2000
4.	B. cauliflorum Hook.f.	Chyrmang Community Reserve, Jaintia Hills, Meghalaya	600-2000
5.	<i>B. cherrapunjeense</i> Barbhuiya & D.Verma	Cherapunjee, Khasi Hills, Meghalaya	1460
6.	B. gymnopus Hook.f.	Chyrmang Community Reserve, Jaintia Hills, Meghalaya	600-2000
7.	<i>B. leopardinum</i> (Wall.) Lindl. ex. Wall.	Mukhaialong Community Reserve, Jaintia Hills, Meghalaya	1300-3300
8.	B. pteroglossum Schltr.	Upper Shillong, Khasi Hills, Meghalaya	1000-2500
9.	<i>B. reptans</i> (Lindl.) Lindl. ex. Wall.	Tuber Community Reserve, Jaintia Hills, Meghalaya	300-1600
10.	B. striatum (Griff.) Rchb.f.	Tuber Community Reserve, Jaintia Hills, Meghalaya	1500-2330
11.	<i>B. sunipia</i> J.J.Verm., Schuit. & de Vogel	Chyrmang Community Reserve, Jaintia Hills, Meghalaya	900-2300
12.	B. umbellatum Lindl.	Upper Shillong, Khasi Hills, Meghalaya	1000-2200

Table 1. Details of collection sites and altitude for the twelve species of Bulbophyllum used in the present study

were maintained at the Botanical Survey of India (BSI), Shillong (Meghalaya), for the purpose of further anatomical and morphological investigations. The collected taxa were also matched with the online herbaria on the World Checklist of the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew (https:// wcsp.science.kew.org/qsearch.do).

Microscopic observations and data acquisition

Fresh aerial roots from each species were fixed in FAA, *i.e.*, formalin : acetic acid : ethanol 50% v/v (10:5:50), and then preserved in 70% ethanol according to Johansen (1940). Hand-cut transverse sections of fresh as well as FAA preserved roots were taken 1-2 cm from the base of the root. The sections were stained with 1% safranin in 70% alcohol and observed under a compound microscope. The number of cell layers in the velamen and the cortex and their thickness, thickening pattern of the exodermis and the endodermis and their thickness, morphology of tilosomes, number of xylem strands in vascular tissue, root and stele diameter, type of tissue in the stele, the occurrence of crystals and their types were determined. The thickness of the layers in root transverse sections was measured with a calibrated stage micrometer. From one plant per species, three mature roots were sectioned, except for B. affine, B. ambrosia, B. cauliflorum and B. striatum, where only one root was taken due to the paucity of root material available. For each root ten measurements were taken from random sections. Photomicrographs were taken on a H600L microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) fitted with a colour high-definition DS-Fi2 camera.

Data analysis

Mean values and standard errors of the mean (SE) were calculated for the quantitative characters, and correlation coefficients (r) between selected anatomical character pairs, *i.e.* velamen thickness and number of xylem strands in the vascular cylinder, velamen thickness and root diameter, root diameter and number of xylem strands in the

vascular cylinder, stele diameter and root area, stele diameter and cortex thickness, were calculated using the Microsoft Excel ToolPak.

Results

The results of qualitative observations and quantitative assessments on the morphology and anatomy of aerial roots of *Bulbophyllum* are summarized in Tables 2 and 3, and illustrated with photomicrograph evidence in figures 1 and 2, with some data also represented graphically using a bar chart (Fig. 3).

External morphology of the roots: The aerial roots were all grey, greenish-grey, or white in colour with a green apex. Root hairs were absent.

Histological examination of the roots: Transverse sections of the roots were mostly circular in outline and were composed of velamen tissue, exodermis, cortex and endodermis (Fig. 1a). The endodermis was single layered, separating the cortex from the vascular tissue. The diameter of the root and its cross-section area ranged from 0.57 ± 0.040 mm and 0.25 ± 0.009 mm² respectively in *B. striatum* to 1.32 ± 0.051 mm and 1.37 ± 0.008 mm² in *B. umbellatum*. The roots of half of the examined species *viz.*, *B. bisetum*, *B. cherrapunjeense*, *B. gymnopus*, *B. leopardinum*, *B. sunipia* and *B. umbellatum* were photosynthetic since the cortical cells had chloroplasts (Fig. 1c, e-g, k, l).

The thickness of the single outermost layer, the velamen, ranged from 40.70 \pm 1.09 µm to 151.70 \pm 3.44 µm (Table 3). This layer represented the smallest proportion of the root diameter in *B. cherrapunjeense* (13.1%) while largest in *B. gymnopus* (29.9%) (Fig. 3; Table 3). The cells of the velamen were commonly columnar, thick walled, stained with safranin (*i.e.* lignified) and devoid of nucleus and cytoplasmic inclusions, indicating that they were dead (Fig. 2a-h, k, l).

In all the species studied, an exodermis beneath the velamen layer was single layered with the thickness ranging between 20.72 ± 0.84 and 38.48 ± 0.84 µm. The thickest exodermis was recorded in *B. sunipia*

Table 2. Root morphological and anatomical features in twelve Bulbophyllum species from Northeast India

Crystal type	Absent	Druses & Geometric crystals	Raphides	Raphides	Raphides	Raphides	Raphides, Druses	Raphides	Raphides	Geometric crystals	Raphides	Absent
Stelar tissue	Sclerenchymatous	Sclerotic	Sclerenchymatous	Sclerotic	Sclerenchymatous (central)	Sclerenchymatous	Sclerenchymatous (highly thick)	Sclerenchymatous	Sclerenchymatous	Sclerenchymatous	Sclerenchymatous	Thick walled
Number of xylem strands in stele	8	7	7	10	8	12	15	8	7	5	9	14
Endodermal wall thickening (shape)	O- shaped	O- shaped	O- shaped	O- shaped	O- shaped	O- shaped	O- shaped	O- shaped	O- shaped	O- shaped	O- shaped	O- shaped
Tracheoidal element thickening	Reticulate	Spiral	Spiral	Spiral	Absent	Annular & Reticulate	Spiral (few)	Spiral	Annular	Spiral	Annular & Reticulate	Absent
Number of cortical layers)	7-8	3-4	4-5	3-5	4-5	6-7	6-7	3-4	4-5	5-6	6-7	7-8
Exodermal wall thickening (shape)	\cap - shaped	O- shaped	O- shaped	O- shaped	O- shaped	O- shaped	O- shaped	O- shaped	O- shaped	∩- shaped	O- shaped	O- shaped
Tilosome morphology	Baculate	Lamellate	Lamellate	Lamellate	Baculate	Baculate	Baculate	Baculate	Lamellate	Lamellate	Lamellate	Spongy
Species	B. affine Wall. ex. Lindl.	B. ambrosia (Hance) Schltr.	B. bisetum Lindl.	B. cauliflorum Hook.f.	B. cherrapunjeense Barbhuiya & D.Verma	B. gymnopus Hook.f.	B. leopardianum (Wall.) Lindl. ex. Wall.	B. pteroglossum Schltr.	B. reptans (Lindl.) Lindl. ex. Wall.	B. striatum (Griff.) Rchb.f.	B. sunipia J.J.Verm., Schuit. & de Vogel	B. umbellatum Lindl.
Sl. No.	1	5	3	4	2	9	7	8	6	10	11	12

ш	
уШи	
hdc	
ulbe	
B_1	
elve	
two	
in	
Е),	
1 (S	
ear	
E	
the	
of	
troi	
d eı	
daro	
tan	
+ SI	
ns,	
nea	
us n	
ed 8	
ento	
res	
rep	
les	
valı	
ve	
tati	
unti	
duê	
eir	
l th	
n in	
tio1	
uria	
l va	
anc	dia
ers	t In
cact	eas
har	rth
ot c	Ž
Ro	шс
3.	s frc
ble	cies
Tal	spe

S.	Species	Root diameter	Root cross	Stele	Cortex	Velamen	Thickn	less as part of r	idius (µm)
No.		(mm)	section area (mm ²)	diameter (mm)	thickness (mm)	% of ring root diameter	Velamen	Exodermis	Endodermis
1	B. affine Wall. ex. Lindl.	0.76 ±0.010	0.45 ±0.012	0.20 ±0.003	0.16 ±0.005	17.9	68.08 ±1.75	34.78 ±0.84	17.02 ±0.84
7	B. ambrosia (Hance) Schltr.	0.77 ± 0.040	0.46 ±0.023	0.16 ± 0.001	0.18 ±0.002	18.1	69.66 ±3.34	25.16 ±1.68	26.64 ±0.68
3	B. bisetum Lindl.	0.58 ±0.031	0.27 ±0.001	0.16 ± 0.002	0.11 ±0.005	17.8	51.80 ±1.54	29.60 ±1.54	16.28 ±0.64
4	B. cauliflorum Hook.f.	0.77 ± 0.010	0.47 ±0.031	0.26 ±0.002	0.13 ± 0.003	20.1	77.70 ±1.54	35.52 ±0.84	16.28 ±0.84
5	B. cherrapunjeense Barbhuiya & D.Verma	0.69 ±0.010	0.37 ±0.051	0.18 ±0.002	0.16 ±0.005	13.1	45.14 ±1.28	36.26 ±1.28	13.32 ±0.84
6	B. gymnopus Hook.f.	1.02 ±0.053	0.80 ±0.019	0.26 ±0.006	0.18 ± 0.009	29.9	151.70 ± 3.44	31.08 ± 0.84	18.13 ±1.00
7	B. leopardinum (Wall.) Lindl. ex. Wall.	1.14 ±0.054	1.01 ±0.014	0.41 ± 0.003	0.21 ±0.008	16.2	91.76 ±1.28	38.48 ±0.84	19.24 ±1.28
8	B. pteroglossum Schltr.	0.71 ± 0.022	0.39 ±0.004	0.21 ± 0.001	0.11 ± 0.003	25.1	89.54 ±2.01	34.04 ±0.68	17.02 ±0.84
6	B. reptans (Lindl.) Lindl. ex. Wall.	0.59 ±0.025	0.27 ±0.006	0.13 ± 0.002	0.14 ± 0.003	16.0	47.36 ±1.28	28.86 ±0.68	13.38 ±1.42
10	B. striatum (Griff.) Rchb.f.	0.57 ± 0.040	0.25 ±0.009	0.13 ±0.006	0.14 ± 0.003	14.3	40.70 ±1.09	20.72 ±0.84	14.06 ±0.68
11	B. sunipia J.J.Verm., Schuit. & de Vogel	0.95 ±0.061	0.70 ± 0.012	0.29 ± 0.002	0.20 ±0.005	15.4	73.26 ±2.28	38.48 ±0.84	16.28 ±0.84
12	B. umbellatum Lindl.	1.32 ±0.051	1.37 ±0.008	0.49 ±0.003	0.23 ±0.003	18.7	123.58 ±1.75	35.52 ±1.75	26.64 ±1.68

and *B. leopardinum* while it was thinnest in *B. striatum* (Table 3). The shape of the exodermal cells was polygonal (Fig. 1b-e, g-i, k, l), or radially elongated (Fig. 1a, f, j). Their cell wall thickening was uniform all around (O-shaped) in the roots of all the species (Fig. 2b-i, k; Table 3), except in *B. affine* and *B. striatum*. In those two species, wall thickening of the outer and radial wall of the

exodermal cells was greater compared to the inner walls, thus giving an appearance of an inverted U (*i.e.*, \cap -shaped) (Fig. 2a, j). There were a few cells occurring solitary or in pairs in both the exodermal and endodermal layers. Unlike the other cells, they were smaller, thin walled and had a large prominent densely stained nucleus, referred to by Haberlandt (1914) as passage cells (Fig. 2b, f, h, i, j). In the



Fig 1. Photomicrographs of root transverse sections of the species of *Bulbophyllum* Thouars showing layers, *viz.*, velamen, exodermis, endodermis and pith: **a**. *B*. affine Wall. ex. Lindl.; **b**. *B*. ambrosia (Hance) Schltr.; **c**. *B*. bisetum Lindl., chlorenchyma in cortex; **d**. *B*. cauliflorum Hook.f.; **e**. *B*. cherrapunjeense Barbhuiya & D.Verma; **f**. *B*. gymnopus Hook.f.; **g**. *B*. leopardinum (Wall.) Lindl. ex. Wall., chlorenchyma in cortex; **h**. *B*. pteroglossum Schltr.; **i**. *B*. reptans (Lindl.) Lindl. ex. Wall; **j**. *B*. striatum (Griff.) Rchb.f.; **k**. *B*. sunipia J.J.Verm., Schuit. & de Vogel, chlorenchyma in cortex; **l**. *B*. umbellatum Lindl., chlorenchyma in the cortex. Abbreviations: C = cortex; En = endodermis; Ex = exodermis; P = pith; Ve = velamen. Scale bars a – j = 100 μm, k & I = 200 μm.



Fig. 2. Photomicrographs of root transverse sections of the species of *Bulbophyllum* Thouars showing variations in morphological features: **a**. *B*. affine Wall. ex Lindl., outer and radial walls of exodermal cells thickened (∩-shaped); **b**. *B. ambrosia* (Hance) Schltr., uniformly thickened walls of exodermal cells, passage cell, starch grains, tilosomes; **c**. *B. bisetum* Lindl., exodermal cell wall thickened all over uniformly; **d**. *B. cauliflorum* Hook.f., all over uniformly thickened exodermal cell; chlorenchymatous, circular cells in cortex; **e**. *B. cherrapunjeense* Barbhuiya & D. Verma, all over uniformly thickened exodermal cell; **f**. *B. gymnopus* Hook, f., all over uniformly thickened exodermal cell, passage cell, tilosomes; **g**. *B. leopardinum* (Wall.) Lindl. ex. Wall., all over uniformly thickened exodermal cell; **h**. *B. pteroglossum* Schltr., all over uniformly thickened exodermal cell, passage cell, tilosomes; **g**. *B. leopardinum* (Wall.) Lindl. ex. Wall., all over uniformly thickened exodermal cell; **h**. *B. pteroglossum* Schltr., all over uniformly thickened exodermal cell, passage cell; tilosomes; **i**. *B. reptans* (Lindl.) Lindl. ex. Wall., all over uniformly thickened exodermal cell, passage cell; **j**. *B. striatum* (Griff.) Rchb.f., outer and radial wall thickened (∩-shaped) exodermal cell, passage cell; **k**. *B. sunipia* J.J.Verm., Schuit. & de Vogel, all over uniformly thickened (0-shaped) exodermal cell, tilosome, tracheoidal idioblast with reticulate thickening; **I**. *B. sunipia* J.J.Verm., Schuit. & de Vogel, tracheoidal idioblasts spirally thickening, raphides; **m**. *B. umbellatum* Lindl., passage cell, (Griff.) Rchb.f. Abbreviations. C = crystal; D = druse; Ex = exodermis; pc = passage cell; R = raphide; sg = starch grain; T = tilosome; TR = tracheoidal idioblast with reticulate thickening; TS = tracheoidal idioblast with spiral thickening;) - inverted 'U'. Scale bars a - I, n - p = 25 µm, m = 500 µm.

endodermis, the passage cells occurred precisely opposite the xylem conduits. Fibrous tilosomes were present on tangential walls of the passage cells in the exodermis facing the velamen. In the present investigation, three morphological types of tilosomes, *viz.*, baculate, lamellate and spongy, were observed. The baculate type was observed in *B. affine, B. cherrapunjeense, B. gymnopus, B. leopardinum* and *B. pteroglossum* (Fig. 2f-h) while lamellate type was most common in half of the species such as *B. ambrosia, B. bisetum, B. cauliflorum, B. reptans, B. striatum*, and *B. sunipia* (Fig. 2 b-d, f-h, j, k). In contrast, the third type, *i.e.*, spongy type, tilosomes were seen only in *B. umbellatum* (Table 2).

Across the examined species, the cortex was radially 3–8-celled thick, parenchymatous, in which the cells beneath the exodermis were small polygonal and sclerenchymatous (Fig. 1a, b, f, h, i, k), while in the lower cortex, they were circular to oval and / or polygonal (Fig. 2b, d, e, h). Specialized water storage cells, *i.e.*, tracheoidal idioblasts, were also

observed in the cortex region. In all the species, they were circular, polygonal or sac like, with spiral or reticulate thickening, except in *B. cherrapunjeense*, where they were absent. In the cortex of *B. sunipia* the water storage cells had both reticulate as well as spiral thickening (Fig. 2k, l). A preliminary histochemical analysis also showed the presence of calcium oxalate crystals, raphides, and druses (Fig. 2l, n, o) in cortex cells except in *B. affine* and *B. umbellatum*, in which they were absent (Table 2).

The innermost part of root transverse section i.e., stele was circular and sclerotic. The stele of *B. leopardinum* and *B. umbellatum* proportionally occupied the largest part of the root diameter (36– 37%) while it was smallest in *B. ambrosia* (21%). The cells of the pith were thick walled, lignified and sclerotic in *B. cauliflorum* and *B. ambrosia*. The pith region was irregularly sclerenchymatous in *B. cherrapunjeense* while it was uniformly sclerenchymatous in the rest of the species analysed here. *Bulbophyllum umbellatum* had slightly thick-



Fig. 3. Percentage of root thickness (diameter) occupied by velamen, cortex and stele in twelve species of *Bulbophyllum* Thouars from Northeast India.

walled sclerenchyma (Table 2). The endodermal cells were polygonal, barrel shaped with uniformly thickened walls. They were moderately to highly thick-walled in *B. bisetum*, *B. cherrapunjeense*, *B. gymnopus*, *B. pteroglossum*, *B. sunipia* and *B. umbellatum* or sclerenchymatous in the remaining species (Fig. 1a-l). The endodermis was uniseriate, thick walled, lignified and separate the cortex from the stele in all the species. These cells were thin walled opposite to all xylem sectors of the vascular cylinder. The stele was polyarch, with xylem and phloem arranged at different radii. The number of xylem strands ranged between 5 and 15.

Statistically, a positive correlation was found between velamen thickness and number of xylem strands in the vascular cylinder (r = 0.7145, p = 0.020243), velamen thickness and root diameter (r = 0.7513, p = 0.03164, root diameter and number of xylem strands in the vascular cylinder (r = 0.9198, p = 0.000164), stele diameter and root area (r = 0.9625, p = 0.00001) and stele diameter and cortex thickness (r = 0.7511, p = 0.012296).

Discussion

The root of all species of Bulbophyllum possessed single cell-layered velamen. This outermost layer of dead cells is a specialized epidermis in Orchidaceae (Pridgeon, 1987) and was first described by Oudemans (1861) in Bulbophyllum. This layer was also reported in several other monocot families such as Amaryllidaceae, Araceae, Commelinaceae, Dioscoreaceae and Taccaceae (Dahlgren & Clifford, 1982). According to an earlier study (Went, 1940), it was believed that the velamen principally absorbs rainwater laden with nutrients that flows down the surface of trees. In contrast, Dycus and Knudson (1957) described that the dead cells of velamen were impermeable to water and provide mechanical support. However, in several later studies it was indicated that the velamen facilitated water absorption (Moreira & Isaias, 2008; Muthukumar & Shenbagam, 2018). There are reports that thick-walled exodermal cells are impermeable to water and are said to be the characteristic of orchids growing in drier habitats (Dycus & Knudson, 1957; Sanford & Adanlawo, 1973). In several studies the velamen was described as a structure that prevents water loss through the roots (Pridgeon, 1987; Benzing, 1996; Lüttge, 2008).

The cell wall thickening of the exodermis was uniform all around the cell wall (giving an appearance O-shape) in all the species examined here but B. affine and B. striatum showed that the outer and radial walls were thickened (\cap -shaped). Stern (2014) also reported similar results and mentioned the predominance of uniform thickening in the genus Bulbophyllum. The exodermal thickness was found to be moderate to highly thickened in the species examined in present study, as also observed by Porembski and Barthlott (1988). The species like *B. affine*, *B. cauliflorum*, *B.* ambrosia, B. striatum, B. leopardinum and B. pteroglossum could be assumed to be better adapted to dry conditions by preventing water loss from interior root tissues as the thick walled exodermal cells are impermeable to water.

According to Haberlandt (1914) the 1–2 distinguishable cells in the exodermis of the aerial roots of orchids are referred to as passage cells. These passage cells may facilitate uptake of water and minerals flowing down along rocks or bark of trees during and after rainfall. Similar passage cells were also recorded in the present study. Presumably such cells may be playing important role in the supply of nutrients to each layer of root. The fibrous tilosomes reported in the present study on the tangential walls of the passage cells (facing the velamen) could possibly enhance the carrier activity of the passage cells.

Three morpho-typic forms of tilosomes were detected in *Bulbophyllum* species *viz.*, baculate, lamellate and spongy, which were among the seven morphological types of tilosomes identified in orchids as reported by Pridgeon *et al.* (1983) who also reported their importance as taxonomic marker (Pridgeon, 1987). Scanning electron microscopy details are warranted, which could help to

substantiate this feature in the investigated species. The available literature indicates that tilosomes act as a water holding structures that help in minimizing the water loss through passage cells (Haberlandt, 1914). It has been shown that tilosomes assist in the condensation of water vapour and other gases such as oxygen and carbon dioxide (Pridgeon, 1987). Figueroa et al. (2008) proposed that the tilosome morphotypes could be indicators of environmental conditions wherein various orchids may be growing and adapted for successful completion of their life cycle. The observations recorded here show two distinct cortex types; either thin with just 3-5 cell layered with various types of thickening pattern and inclusions as a potential storage resource or possessing chloroplast, demonstrating photosynthetic activity. Therefore, histomorphological organization of the cortex could be of some help in species identification. The specialized water storage cells referred to as 'tracheoidal idioblasts' (Foster, 1956) are characteristic to the epiphytic orchids that play an important role in providing mechanical support and protect them from desiccation (Olatunji & Nengim, 1980; Kaushik, 1983; Porembski & Barthlott, 1988). Porembski and Barthlott (1988) listed two types of idioblastic cells in the cortex: (i) sac-like cells have different wall thickening patterns and pores, (ii) cells containing raphide-bundles or druses. Tracheoidal idioblasts are absent in B. cherrapunjeense, whereas B. sunipia showed the presence of reticulate and spiral thickening. Prychid and Rudall (1999) concluded that druses and raphides are the most common types of calcium oxalate crystals in monocotyledons and their presence is also reported by earlier researchers in Orchidaceae (Smith, 1923; Sandoval, 1993; Pridgeon, 1994; Stern, 1997). Druses have been reported in Dendrobium Sw. (Carlsward et al., 1997). Crystals in the roots of Bulbophyllum are of great importance in their occurrence, distribution and type, *i.e.* druse, raphides and other geometric crystals in the cortex of a particular species (Piazza et al., 2015). The accumulation of such ergastic substances is considered to be genetically controlled (Franceschi

& Nakata, 2005). Therefore, they may be considered consistent features from a taxonomic point of view.

The endodermis was sclerenchymatous with a considerable variation in the wall thickness. A sclerenchymatous pericycle with presence of passage cells opposite to the xylem strands was considered to facilitate water movement (Pridgeon *et al.*, 2014). The stele was sclerenchymatous in all the *Bulbophyllum* species studied here.

Conclusion

The root characters of twelve Bulbophyllum species from Northeast India exhibited several identification features such as the presence of uneven thickening of exodermis cells in B. affine and *B. striatum* and absence or presence of particular type of crystals in the cortical cells in *B. affine* and B. umbellatum. The occurrence of raphides in the cortex was found to be a very common feature, whereas geometric crystals were rare and occurred only in B. ambrosia and B. striatum, which could be used as a diagnostic feature. The root anatomical characters viz., tilosome type, crystal type, cell wall thickening patterns described in the present study may be used as species distinguishing features. In the future, scanning electron microscopic (SEM) details of tilosomes might help to explore their taxonomic significance. The interspecific variation in the root morphological characters could assist in the identification of species such as *B. gymnopus*, *B.* leopardinum, and B. umbellatum. However, the sample of 12 species is at present too small to draw more far-reaching conclusions for the genus until more species are investigated. The application of histochemical techniques will help to develop anatomical tools for the characterization of species.

Acknowledgements

Authors are grateful to the Head of the Office, Botanical Survey of India, Eastern Regional Centre, Shillong for providing facilities during collection. The expert help of the anonymous reviewers in improving this manuscript is gratefully appreciated. This work was partially funded by the CSIR Emeritus Scientist Scheme No. 21(1106)/20/EMR-II to SL.

Literature Cited

- ATWOOD J. 1986. The size of the Orchidaceae and the systematic distribution of epiphytic orchids. *Selbyana* 9: 171"186. https://www.jstor.org/stable/41888801
- AYBEKE M. 2012. Comparative anatomy of selected rhizomatous and tuberous taxa of subfamilies Orhidoideae and Epidendroideae (Orchidaceae) as an aid to identification. *Plant Systematics and Evolution* 298(9): 1643–1658. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00606-012-0666-9
- AYBEKE M., SEZIKI E. & G. OLGUN 2010. Vegetative anatomy of some *Ophrys* and *Dactylorhiza* (Orchidaceae) taxa in Trakya region of Turkey. *Flora*. 205: 73–89. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.*Flora*.2008.11.009
- BENZING D.H. 1996. Aerial roots and their environments. In: WAISEL Y., ESHEL A. & U. KAFKAFI (eds.), Plant roots: the hidden half. Marcel Dekker, New York. pp 875-894.
- CARLSWARD B.S., STERN W.L., JUDD W.S. & T.W. LUCANSKY 1997. Comparative leaf anatomy and systematics in *Dendrobium*, Section *Aporum* and *Rhizobium* (Orchidaceae). *International Journal of Plant Science* 158(3): 332–343. https://doi.org/10.1086/297445
- CHASE M.W., CAMERON K.M., FREUDENSTEIN J.V., PRIDGEON A.M., SALAZAR G., VAN DEN BERG C. & A. SCHUITEMAN 2015. An updated classification of Orchidaceae. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* 177 (2): 151-174. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/boj.12234
- CHATIN M.A. 1856. Anatomie des plantesaeriennes de l'ordre des orchidees. Premier Memoire: anatomie des racines. *Mémoires de la Société Imperiale Académique de Cherbourg* 4: 5–18.
- DAHLGREN R.M.T. & D.H.T. CLIFFORD 1982. *The monocotyledons: a comparative study.* Academic Press, London.
- DRESSLER R.L. 1981. The orchids: natural history and classification. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- DRESSLER R.L. 1993. *Phylogeny and classification of Orchid family*. Press Syndicate of the University of Cambridge, Cambridge.
- DYCUS A.M. & L. KNUDSON 1957. The role of the velamen of the aerial roots of orchids. *Botanical Gazette* 119: 78–87. https://doi.org/10.1086/335966
- FAN J., He R., JHANG Y. & X. ZIN 2014. Systematic significance of leaf epidermal features in *Holcoglossum*

(Orchidaceae). *PLoS ONE* 9 (7): e101557. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0101557.

- FIGUEROA C., SALAZAR G.A., ZAVALETA A.H. & M.E. ENGLEMAN 2008. Root character evolution and systematics in Cranichidinae, Prescottinae and Spiranthinae (Orchidaceae, Cranichideae) *Annals of Botany* 101(4): 509–520. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/ mcm328
- FOSTER A.S. 1956. Plant idioblasts: remarkable examples of cell specialization. *Protoplasma* 46: 184–193. https:// doi.org/10.1007/BF01248877
- FRANCESCHI V.R. & P.A. NAKATA 2005. Calcium oxalate in plants: formation and function. *Annual Review* of Plant Biology 56: 41–71. https://doi.org/10.1146/ annurev.arplant.56.032604.144106
- HABERLANDT G.F.J. 1914. Physiological plant anatomy. (translated from 4th German edition by J.M.F. Drummond). MacMillan and Co., London.
- JOHANSEN D.A. 1940. *Plant microtechnique*. McGraw-Hill Book Company Inc., New York & London.
- KAUSHIK P. 1983. *Ecological and anatomical marvels of the Himalayan orchids.* Today and Tomorrow's Printers & Publishers, New Delhi.
- LEITGEB H. 1864. Die Luftwurzeln der Orchideen. Denkschriften der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften. Mathematisch Naturwissenschaftliche Klasse 24: 179–222. https://www.zobodat.at/pdf/DAKW_24_2_0179-0222.pdf
- LÜTTGE U. 2008. Physiological ecology of tropical plants. Springer. Berlin. https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/ 978-3-540-71793-5
- MEINECKE T. E.P. 1894. Beiträge zur Anatomie der Luftwurzeln der Orchideen. *Flora*. 78: 133–203. https:/ /www.zobodat.at/pdf/Flora_78_0133-0203.pdf
- MICCO DE V. & ARONNE G. 2012. Occurrence of morphological and anatomical adaptive traits in young and adult plants of the rare mediterranean cliff species *Primula palinuri* Petagna. *The Scientific World Journal* 471814. https://doi.org/10.1100/2012/471814
- MOREIRA A.S.F.P. & R.M. DOS SANTOS ISAIAS 2008. Comparative anatomy of the absorption roots of terrestrial and epiphytic orchids. *Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology an International Journal* 51(1): 83– 93. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1516-89132008000100011
- MUTHUKUMAR T. & M. SHENBAGAM 2018. Vegetative anatomy of the orchid *Bulbophyllum sterile* (Orchidaceae: Epidendroidae). *Lankesteriana* 18(1): 13– 22. http://dx.doi.org/10.15517/lank.v18i1.32701

OLATUNJI O.A. & R.O. NENGIM 1980. Occurrence and

distribution of tracheoidal elements in the Orchidaceae. *Botanical Journal of the Linnaean Society* 80: 357–70. https://doi.org/10.21826/2446-8231201873208

- OUDEMANS C.A.J.A. 1861. Ueber den Sitz der Oberhaut bei den Luftwurzeln der Orchideen. Verhandelingen der Koninklijke Akademie van *Wetenschappen* 9: 1-32.
- PIAZZA L.D., SMIDT E. De C. & C. BONN 2015. Anatomia comparada dos órgãos vegetativos de espécies de Bulbophyllum seção Didactyle (Lindl.) Cogn. e Bulbophyllum seção Xiphizusa Rchb.f. (Orchidaceae). Hoehnea 42(1): 171-183 (in Portuguese). http:// dx.doi.org/10.1590/2236-8906-34/2014
- POREMBSKI S. & W. BARTHLOTT 1988. Velamen radicum micromorphology and classification of Orchidaceae. Nordic Journal of Botany 8(2): 117–37. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1756-1051.1988.tb00491.x
- PRIDGEON A.M. 1987. The velamen and exodermis of orchid roots. In: ARDITTI J. (ed.), Orchid biology. Reviews and perspectives IV. Cornell University Press, Ithaca. pp. 139–192.
- PRIDGEON A.M. 1994. Systematic anatomy of Orchidaceae: resources or anachronism. In: PRIDGEON A.M. (ed.), Proceedings of the 14th World Orchid Conference. H.M.S.O., London. pp. 84–91.
- PRIDGEON A.M., CRIBB P.J., CHASE M.W. & F.N. RASMUSSEN 2014. Genera Orchidacearum. Volume 6. Epidendroideae (Part 3): Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- PRIDGEON A.M. & W.L. STERN 1982. Vegetative anatomy of the *Myoxanthus* (Orchidaceae). *Selbyana* 7: 55-63. https://www.jstor.org/stable/41759565
- PRIDGEON A.M., STERN W.L. & D.H. BENZING 1983. Tilosomes in roots of Orchidaceae: morphology and systematic occurrence. *American Journal of Botany* 70: 1365–1377. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1537-2197.1983.tb07926.x
- PRYCHID C.J. & P.J. RUDALL 1999. Calcium oxalate

crystals in monocotyledons: a review of their structure and systematics. *American Journal of Botany* 84: 725–739. https://doi.org/10.1006/anbo.1999.0975

- SANDOVAL Z.E. 1993. Anatomie foliar de *Cuitlauzina* pendula. Oriquidea (MeUx) 13:181–190.
- SANFORD W.W. & I. ADANLAWO 1973. Velamen and exodermis characters of West African epiphytic orchids in relation to taxonomic grouping and habitat tolerance. *Botanical Journal of the Linnaean Society* 66(4): 307–321. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.1973.tb02178.x
- SINGH H. 1986. Anatomy of root in some Orchidaceae. *Acta Botanica Indica* 14: 24–32.
- SINGH M., VIMALA Y., LAVANIA S. & D. VERMA 2020. Leaf epidermal features in relation to taxonomy of some species of *Bulbophyllum* (Orchidaceae) from Northeast India. *Rheedea* 30(4): 427–443. https:// dx.doi.org/10.22244/rheedea.2020.30.04.02
- SMITH E.L. 1923. The histology of certain orchids with reference to mucilage secretion and crystal formation. *Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club* 50: 1–16. https:// www.jstor.org/stable/2479975
- STERN W.L. 1997. Vegetative anatomy of subtribe Orchidinae (Orchidaceae). *Botanical Journal of the Linnaean Society* 124: 121–136. https://doi.org/10.1111/ j.1095-8339.1997.tb01786.x
- STERN W.L. 2014. Anatomy of the monocotyledons Volume X: Orchidaceae. University Press, Oxford. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/acprof:osobl/9780199689071.001.0001
- THANGAVELU M. & A. KOWSALYA 2017. Comparative anatomy of aerial and substrate roots of Acampe praemorsa (Rox.) Blatt. & McCann. Flora – Morphology, Distribution, Functional Ecology of Plants 226: 17–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.flora.2016.11.001
- WENT F.W. 1940. Soziologie der Epiphyten eines tropischen Regenwaldes. *Annales du Jardin Botaniquede Buitenzorg* 50: 1–98.