

Kessler P.J.A.



How to cite:

Kessler P.J.A. 1995. Subdivision and relationships of the Asiatic - Australian genera of Annonaceae. *Rheedea* 5(2): 97–102.

https://dx.doi.org/10.22244/rheedea.1995.05.02.01

Published in print: 31.12.1995

Published Online: 01.01.2022

www.rheedea.in

Rheedea

Subdivision and relationships of the Asiatic – Australian genera of Annonaceae¹

P. J. A. Kessler

Rijksherbarium/Hortus Botanicus, Leiden, P. O. Box 9514, NL-2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands

Abstract

Although the Annonaceae as a family is well characterized, its subdivision has always been problematic. Classifications are far from being comparable with each other, although all contain valuable elements. Some tribes, especially those containing genera from South America, are very distinct and represent clearcut and well-defined groups of taxa. Asiatic – Australian genera with their reticulate distribution of primitive and advanced characters and parallelism show difficulties for a classification on tribal level. Nevertheless a number of phenetic classifications relying on flower, pollen, fruit and seed characters have been published recently which will help to make sound decisions after monographs of the big Asian general have been completed.

INTRODUCTION

The Annonaceae is a large pantropical plant family which plays an ecologically important role in the lowland forests of Malesia. Of the total of 126 genera only two are represented outside the tropics, namely Asimina Adans. and Deeringothamnus Small, with Asinina triloba (L.) Dunal (American Papaw) reaching the southern most part of Canada. Several tropical species are known to occur in cool and windy high-elevation forests, including Disepalum pulchrum (King) Sinclair, Polyalthia montana Ridley, Sageraea thwaitesii Hook. f. & Thoms., and Phoenicanthus coriacea (Thw.) H. Huber (over 1500 m elevation), Friesodielsia alpina (Sinclair) Steenis, Pseuduvaria taipingensis Sinclair, and some Goniothalamus Hook. f. & Thoms., esp. G. clemensii Ban, G. montanus Mat Salleh, and G. roseus Stapf (over 1200 m elevation).

Economically only some South and Middle American species are worth mentioning: Annona muricata L. (Soursop, Sirsak in Indonesia), Annona squamosa L. (Sugarapple, Sirkaja), and Annona cherimolia Miller (Cherimoya) which provide palatable fruits often used in juices. These species are nowadays cultivated for their syncarps all over the tropics and are found regularly in the markets throughout the Malesian region.

¹ This paper is to commemorate the 65th birthday of Professor H. Huber, University of Kaiserslautern Germany, who introduced me to plant taxonomy and especially to the Annonaceae.

P. J. A. Kessler

Apocarpous Annonaceae species with edible monocarps are less common and only of local importance. In the Asian region especially some *Uvaria L.* and *Alphonsea* Hook. f. & Thoms. species provide nice fruits and *Stelechocarpus burahol* (Blume) Hook. f. & Thoms. (Kepel) is very much sought after in Java. Unfortunately, as in many other tropical fruits, the edible part in these is thin but very tasty.

The whole family many count between 126 and 130 genera in the world, depending on wide or narrow genus concept. Approximately 50 genera with more than 850 species occur in Austral-Asia compared to about 40 genera with 250 species in Africa or 36 genera with about 600 species in America. Diversity of the Asian Annonaceae is very high, but in contrast to America and Africa, many of the Asian members are climbers. Some of the genera like Uvaria L. (110 spp.), Artabotrys R. Br. (100 spp.), Friesodielsia Steenis and Fissistigma Griffith (with more than 60 species each) represent some of the largest genera within the family. All these genera are exclusively lianas, not a single species representing the tree or shrub habit. Other large genera are Goniothalamus (Blume) Hook. f. & Thoms. (130 spp.), Polyalthia Blume (100 spp.), and Xylopia L. (150 spp.); the latter, however, has a pantropical distribution. All species are mainly understorey trees with some exceptions: Mezzettia parviflora Becc. may reach 40 m height.

Although the Annonaceae, as a family, are well characterized and supposed to be monophyletic, subdivision and the relationships between genera have always been problematic. This is reflected by the different classifications that have been proposed in systematic treatments.

During the last 20 years some substantial effort has been made to evaluate different character sets of the family as a means for discerning interrelationships and for classifying them accordingly:

- Pollen: studied by Walker (1971, 1972) Le Thomas (1980, 1981), and Morawetz & Waha (1985).
- Chromosomes: studied by Sauer & Ehrendorfer (1984) and Okada & Ueda (1985).
- Leaf venation patterns: studied by Klucking (1986).
- Anatomy of the seeds: Studied by Christmann (1986).
- Morphology of fruits and seeds: studied by van Setten and Koek-Noorman (1992).
- Flower morphology: studied by van Heusden (1992).
- Embryology: studied by Steinecke (1993).

Many of them have attempted to construct large-scale classification of the whole family, usually based on their restricted character sets only. It has been found that they all support each other to a very considerable extent, if only the American genera are concerned. One of

Rheedea 5 (2), 1995

Asiatic-Australian genera of Annonaceae

the most famous examples is the Annona-group consisting of the genera Annona L., Anonidium Engl. & Diels, Raimondia Saff. and Rollinia A. St. Hil. as well as the Guatteria- and Duguetiagroups which have been accepted by all authors since their creation by Fries (1959). Inaccurate determination of material has been one of the biggest constraints in this type of work, involving evaluation of such data. That is, at least, true for the Asiatic species as revisions of most of the larger genera are not available. Typical examples are the papers of Walker (1971, 1972) and Klucking (1986). Many specimens from Asia cited by these authors actually belong to different, and sometimes even, unrelated genera. This, of course, makes it impossible to interpret the data correctly and I would suggest that more effort should be made to revise or monograph Asiatic Annonaceae.

The Asiatic – Australian genera of Annonaceae are still the least known members of the family although some progress has been made recently.

Revisions of the following Asiatic-Australian genera are now at hand:

I. Already published revisions

Orophea Blume (41 spp., Kessler 1988, 1990)

Disepalum Hook f. (incl. Enicosanthellum Ban, 9 spp., Johnson 1989)

Meiogyne Miq. (incl. Ancana F. Muell., Chieniodendron Tsiang & P. T. Li, Guamia Merr., Oncodostigma Diels, Polyaulax Backer, 9 spp., van Heusden 1994)

Haplostichanthus F. Muell. (incl. Papualthia Diels, 6 spp., van Heusden 1994)

Mezzettia Becc. (4 spp., van der Heijden & Kessler 1990)

Anaxagorea A. St. Hil. (2 spp., Maas & Westra 1984)

Platymitra Boerl. (2 spp., Kessler 1988)

Stelechocarpus (Blume) Hook. f. & Thoms. (2 spp., van Heusden 1995)

II. Revisions not yet published, manuscripts almost finished:

Goniothalamus (Blume) Hook. f. & Thoms. (30 spp., Bornean species only, Kamarudin Mat Salleh)

Alphonsea Hook. f. & Thoms. (24 spp., Kessler)

Cyathostemma Griffith (incl. Tetrapetalum Miq., 10 spp., Utteridge)

Sageraea Dalz. (6 spp., van Heusden)

These studies contribute to about 16% of the total number of species belonging to small and very small genera. But, when it comes to Austral-Asian taxa, lack of proper and adequate

P. J. A. Kessler

taxonomic data continues to be a cause for worry for taxonomists involved in their classification, both at suprageneric and infrageneric levels. Even as generic synonymy continues to add to their woes, difficult genera like *Polyalthia* Bl., *Uvaria* L., *Desmos* Lour., *Pseuduvaria* Miq., and *Mitrephora* (Blume) Hook. f. & Thoms. have not yet received the taxonomic attention that they deserve.

In short, the circumscription, characterisation, and phylogenetic relationship of the various genera are yet to be clearly delineated. Even as some of them are clearly monophyletic, some others seem to be paraphyletic. But this requires further confirmation. I am aware that it is far too early to propose an infrafamilial classification of the Annonaceae in Austral–Asia. Yet, I am providing here a tentative, informal classification of the various perceived groupings in the family, in the hope that it will help future course of taxonomic research and monographic studies.

CLASSIFICATION

1. Uvaria group:

Mainly climbers; hairs stellate or caespitose; flowers leaf-opposed; sepals valvate; petals imbricate; ovules several, lateral.

Included genera: Uvaria L. Cyathostemma Griff. (incl. Tetrapetalum), Ellipeia Hook. f. & Thoms., Ellipeiopsis R. E. Fries, Rauwenhoffia R. Scheffer, Anomianthus Zoll.; probably also Sageraea Dalz., Stelechocarpus (Blume) Hook. f. & Thoms. Doubtfully attached genera: Dendrokingstonia (Hook. f. & Thoms.) Rauschert, Dasoclema Sinclair.

2. Desmos group:

Climbers or trees; hairs simple or absent; sepals and petals valvate, both whorls of petals more or less equal in size; ovules many to one, lateral, rarely basal (*Polyalthia* sect. *Monoon* (Miq.) Blume).

Included genera: Desmos Lour., Dasymaschalon (Hook. f. & Thoms.) Dalla Torre & Harms, Polyalthia Bl., Sphaerothalamus Hook. f., Monocarpia Miq., Enicosanthum Becc., Woodiellantha (Merr.) Rauschert; probably also Meiogyne Miq. (incl. Guamia, Polyaulax, Oncodostigma, Chieniodendron, Ancana).

Doubtfully attached genus: Haplostichanthus F. Muell. (Incl. Papualthia).

3. Xylopia group:

Trees or climbers; hairs simple; sepals and petals valvate, petals spoon-shaped, concave base coherent around the reproductive organs.

Included genera: Xylopia, Artabotrys R. Brown, Cyathocalyx Champ. ex Hook. f. & Thoms., Drepananthus Maingay ex Hook. f. & Thoms., Anaxagorea A. St. Hil.; probably also Marsypopetalum Scheffer.

Rheedea 5 (2), 1995

Asiatic-Australian genera of Annonaceae

4. Pseuduvaria group:

Trees or climbers; hairs simple or absent; inner petals mitriform, clawed; stamens numerous, connective with a truncate dilated apex.

Included genera: Pseuduvaria Miq., Mitrephora (Blume) Hook. f. & Thoms., Goniothalamus (Blume) Hook. f. & Thoms., Richella A. Gray, Schefferomitra Diels, Melodorum Lour., Friesodielsia Steenis, Oreomitra Diels, Petalolophus Schumann; probably also Popowia Endl., Neo-uvaria Airy-Shaw, Trivalvaria Miq., Phaeanthus Hook. f. & Thoms., Mitrella Miq., Pyramidanthe Miq., Fissistigma Griffith.

5. Miliusa group:

Trees; hairs simple or absent; sepals and petals valvate; stamens few, connective without prolonged specialized apex; carpels few.

Included genera: Miliusa Leschen. ex A. DC., Orophea Blume, Mezzettiopsis Ridley, Phoenicanthus Alston, Alphonsea Hook. f. & Thoms., Platymitra Boerl.; probably also Mezzettia Becc.

Genera that can not be accommodated in any of the groups: Cananga Hook. f. & Thoms., Fitzalania F. Muell., Disepalum Hook. f.

It is obvious that the classification of the Annonaceae is currently in a state of flux, as the older systems based primarily upon perianth morphology are amended using a wider array of features. The available data especially from flower morphology, pollen, fruit, seed morphology, and seed anatomy as well as embryology do not support a single classification for the whole family, as many Asiatic–Australian genera show enormous amount of reticulate distribution of primitive and advanced characters and parallelism, which make it difficult to assess evolutionary relationships between the taxa (Kessler 1993). May I stress again that without revising especially the large Austral–Asiatic genera we will not be able to unravel the complex systematics of this family.

Acknowledgements

This paper has been presented in a slightly different form at the Third International Flora Malesiana Symposium 1995 at Kew. I would like to thank the organisers for their kind invitation. Dr. M. M. J. Van Balgooy (L) and P. Bygrave (K) provided me with some additional information. Dr. V. V. Sivarajan (Calicut) critically read an early version of the manuscript.

P. J. A. Kessler

Literature cited

- Christmann, M. 1986. Beiträge zür Histologie der Annonaceen-Samen. Bot. Jahrb. Syst. 106: 379-390.
- Fries, R. E. 1959. Annonaceae in A. Engler & K. Prantl (Eds.) Die naturlichen Pflanzenfamilien edn. 2nd 17A. Duncker & Humblot, Berlin.
- Heijden, E. van der & Kessler, P. J. A. 1990. Studies on the tribe Saccopetaleae (Annonaceae) III. Revision of the genus Mezzettia Beccari. Blumea 35: 217-288.
- Heusden, E. C. H. van 1992. Flowers of Annonaceae: Morphology, classification, and evolution. Blumea, Suppl. 7 : 1-218.
- Heusden, E. C. H. van 1994. Revision of Meiogyne (Annonaceae). Blumea 38: 487-511.
- Heusden, E. C. H. van 1994. Revision of Haplostichanthus (Annonaceae). Blumea 39: 215-234.
- Heusden, E. C. H. van 1995. Revision of the Southeast Asian genus Stelechocarpus (Annonaceae). Blumea 40: 407-416.
- Johnson, D. M. 1989. Revision of Disepalum (Annonaceae). Brittonia 41: 356-378.
- Kessler, P. J. A. 1988. Revision der Gattung Orophea Blume (Annonaceae). Blumea 33: 1-80.
- Kessler, P. J. A. 1988. Studies on the tribe Saccopetaleae (Annonaceae) I. Revision of the genus Platymitra Boerlage. Blumea 33: 471–476.
- Kessler, P. J. A. 1990. Studies on the tribe Saccopetaleae (Annonaceae) II. Additions to the genus Orophea Blume. Blumea 34: 505-516.
- Kessler, P. J. A. 1993. Annonaceae. In Kubitzki, K. et al. (Eds.). The families and genera of vascular plants. Springer, Berlin.
- Klucking, E. P. 1986. Leaf venation patterns 1 : Annonaceae. Cramer, Berlin, Stuttgart.
- Le Thomas, A. 1980. Ulwastructural characters of the pollen grains of African Annonaceae and their significance for the phylogeny of primitive angiosperms I. Pollen and Spores 22 : 267-342.
- Le Thomas, A. 1981. Ultrastructural characters of the pollen grains of African Annonaceae and their significance for the phylogeny of primitive angiosperms II. *Pollen and Spores* 23: 5-36.
- Maas, P. J. M. & Westra, L. Y. Th. 1984. Studies in Annonaceae. II. A monograph of the genus Anaxagorea A. St. Hil. Bot. Jahrb. Syst. 105 : 73-134.
- Morawetz, W. & Waha, M. 1985. A new pollen type, C-banded and fluorescent counterstained chromosomes and evolution in *Guatteria* and related genera (Annonaceae). *Pl. Syst. Evol.* 150 : 119-141.
- Okada, H. & Ueda, K. 1984. Cytotaxonomical studies on Asian Annonaceae. Pl. Syst. Evql. 104 : 165-177.
- Sauer, W. & Ehrendorfer, F. 1984. Notes on the karyosystematics of Annonaceae. *Pl. Syst. Evol.* 146 : 47–55.
- Setten, A. K. van & Koek-Noorman, J. 1992. Fruits and seeds of Annonaceae: Morphology and its significance for classification. Bibl. Bot. 142: 1-101.
- Steinecke, H. 1993. Embryologische, morphologische und systematische Untersuchungen ausgewanlter Annonaceae. Diss. Bot. 205 : 1-237.
- Walker, J. W. 1971. Pollen morphology, phytogeography and phylogeny of the Annonaceae. Contrib. Gray Herb. 202: 3-131.
- Walker, J. W. 1972. Contributions to the pollen morphology and phylogeny of the Annonaceae II. Bot.. J. Linn. Soc. 65: 173–178.